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JAXA wants to make the sci-fi idea of space-based solar power a reality
Why power satellites?
They get humanity off fossil fuels

This is important if you consider the CO2 build up to be a serious problem
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They solve energy concerns without subsidies and make a lot of money. Low energy cost makes everyone better off.

Initial target cost is 3 cents per kWh to undercut coal, 2 cents or less to replace oil.
Is it possible to replace oil?
Synthetic Oil from electricity

Hydrogen in a bbl of oil takes ~20 MWh. At two cents, $40/bbl. Capital $10/bbl based on this plant
How much can we spend on power satellites?
For low maintenance & zero fuel cost, the Levelized Cost of Electricity is capital cost/80,000. That is $2400/kW for the target of three cents per kWh.
$2400/kW is split $200/kW for the rectenna, $900/kw for the power satellite parts. That leaves $1300/kw for transport.
At 6.5kg/kW, that’s $200/kg about a 100 to 1 reduction over current cost to haul comm sats to GEO.
You cannot build in LEO and fly a power satellite out to GEO on it’s own power, there is too much space junk and takes too long. (Boeing, 1970s, hit 40 times)
First to LEO
Arcjets are power hogs
How can we power them?

(A mini power satellite obviously)
Days for a given altitude, 15,000 tons, 400 MW
$/kg vs exhaust velocity
We have the same problem with a mini power satellite that we had for a full sized one, it gets to many space junk hits on the way up. Most of the junk is below 2000 km so the plan is to use chemical rockets to 2000 km
At 2000 km, the stack unfolds to make a propulsion power satellite
It looks like we can get the cost to well under $200/kg to GEO, not LEO where cost are usually quoted. Can we get the mass to 6.5 kg/kW? (32,500 tons for 5 GW)
**Thermal Power Satellite Mass Allocation**

- 6100 tons of collector surfaces and supports
- 5000 tons of boilers and steam generators
- 1200 tons turbines, compressors, feed water pumps
- 3300 tons of electrical generators and wiring
- 1200 tons frame
- 2900 tons radiators
- 6000 tons transmitter
- 6800 tons margin
Can we build power satellites fast enough to make a difference?

Depends on how fast Skylon production can be ramped up and if we run into NOx/ozone environmental limits from >100,000 reentering Skylons per year
Power satellite construction rate per quarter
Number of power satellites

Years (optimistic, but possible)
This proposal looks like it can be done and will solve the CO2 problem. How much will it cost is the next question. The next charts (not checked) do not include the $10 B development cost for the Skylon.

The odd shape is due to rapidly reducing the cost of power satellites to customers (a marketing decision).
Artwork for pretty
Designs for these propulsion power satellites use concentrated PV and large radiators to get rid of the waste heat from the 40% efficient cells. Where clouds are rare, (like in space) CPV works better than regular PV
The composition of HCPV
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